

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,998	NEMIROFSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

 (3) Jonathan P. Kudlá.

 (2) Mark J Spolyar.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2006
**Time:** 2 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 1,7,27,34,40-42,44,55,57,65,67,69,75-81

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Mark J Spolyar, Reg.No. 42,164 about the potential amendment to the claims, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .